

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>WILLIAM ANTONIO CHAVARRIA-PEREZ,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p>4:25-MJ-00111-KES</p> <p>SCHEDULING AND CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER</p>
---	--

In order to comply with the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 *et seq.*, and to set forth orderly progression dates, it is

ORDERED that the following deadlines will apply in this case:

Discovery motions	May 27, 2025
Suppression/voluntariness motions	June 3, 2025
Responses to motions due	Within five days after motion is filed
Subpoenas for suppression hearing	June 3, 2025
Suppression/voluntariness hearing before Magistrate Judge Veronica Duffy	If necessary, will be held prior to June 20, 2025
Applications for Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum	July 1, 2025
Expert witness disclosures	July 1, 2025
Other motions	July 8, 2025
Responses to motions due	Within five days after motion is filed
Subpoenas for trial	July 8, 2025
Plea agreement or petition to plead and statement of factual basis	July 8, 2025
Notify court of status of case	July 8, 2025
Rebuttal expert witness disclosures	July 15, 2025
Motions in limine	July 15, 2025
Proposed jury instructions due	July 15, 2025
Jury trial	Tuesday, July 22, 2025, at 9 a.m.

1. Proposed jury instructions, with citations to authority, will be filed. Submit only substantive instructions pertaining to the law of the case; do not submit standard or boilerplate instructions.
2. No motions will be entertained unless they are accompanied by a reasoned memorandum setting forth the precise issues to be considered by the court, together with an explanation of the relevant law to the particular facts of the case. The filing of "boilerplate" motions or memoranda will not satisfy this requirement. Responses to motions will set forth specific points of law, together with supporting authorities relied upon.
3. Counsel for the parties will confer, prior to the filing of motions, in order to reach agreement upon all pretrial motions, including motions for discovery and disclosure. The government provides a stipulation form to implement the court's standing discovery order.
4. Upon request of the defendant, the plaintiff will forthwith comply with Rule 16(a)(1)(A)-(G).
5. If the defendant requests disclosure under Rule 16(a), such defendant will, upon request of the plaintiff, forthwith comply with Rule 16(b).
6. The government will timely furnish all materials required by *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).
7. Pursuant to the Due Process Protections Act, the Court confirms the United States's obligation to disclose to the defendant all exculpatory evidence—that is, evidence that favors the defendant or casts doubt on the United States's case, as required by *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and ORDERS the United States to do so. Failure to disclose exculpatory evidence in a timely manner may result in consequences, including, but not limited to, exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, contempt proceedings, disciplinary action, or sanctions by the Court.
8. Any written statements of plaintiff's witnesses discoverable under the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, will be furnished to the defendant unless the government demands strict compliance with the Jencks Act.
9. It will be the responsibility of defense counsel to notify defense witnesses not to report if the case **does not** go to trial.
10. In the event a party fails to comply with the provisions of this order, the court may strike a motion, refuse to permit oral argument, rule against the offending party, impose fine, award payment of attorney's fees, or proceed in such other manner as justice requires.
11. Upon a showing of good cause, the court may permit exceptions to the rules set forth in this order.
12. Counsel is responsible for client's prompt attendance at all hearings and trial.

13. If defense counsel or government counsel feel that an interpreter is needed in this case, counsel will promptly file a motion with the court requesting an interpreter.

Dated May 19, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Karen E. Schreier

KAREN E. SCHREIER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE